



Ontario

H.R.C. Orillia

Casebook No. [REDACTED]

Name Facility Ward or Unit ... C.10

January 8, 1988

SOCIAL WORK FAMILY ASSESSMENT

Date of Birth: 1961 [REDACTED]

Date of Admission: 1973 12 03

Social Work Contact with Family:

1. Interview with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] 1987 10 11 at H.R.C.
2. Interview with Mrs. [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] 1987 12 27 at H.R.C.
3. Telephone conversations with Mrs. [REDACTED] in 1985 and 1986, November 1987 and January 8, 1988.

Next of Kin:

Mrs. [REDACTED] (mother)

Background Information:

See social work progress note dated 1986 04 03.

Present Family Situation:

[REDACTED] father, [REDACTED] was born in [REDACTED] in 1930. He and Mrs. [REDACTED] were divorced in 1981. Mrs. [REDACTED] reported [REDACTED] father has remarried.

[REDACTED] mother, Mrs. [REDACTED] was born in 1927. She reported she has back trouble, gastric ulcers and allergies. She also has asthma which seems to be aggravated by cold weather and physical exertion. During her visit to H.R.C. she was having some difficulty with her breathing.

Mrs. [REDACTED] reported Mr. [REDACTED] does not visit the family. He has continued to make the mortgage payments on her house. She reported when he left home he took a considerable amount of the furniture with him. She has not been in a position financially to replace some of it.

Siblings:

1. [REDACTED] was born in 1948. He is a policeman in Toronto. He is married but has no children. The family has seen him only occasionally.

NAME: [REDACTED]

CB#: [REDACTED]

DATE: 1988 01 08

2. [REDACTED] was born in 1949. He is not married. He lives in [REDACTED] and is employed in construction work. Mrs. [REDACTED] rarely sees him.

3. [REDACTED] was born in 1954. s. 21(1) and s. 49(b) She s. 21(1) and s. 49(b)

4. [REDACTED] was born in 1955. s. 21(1) and s. 49(b) s. 21(1) and s. 49(b)

5. [REDACTED] was born in 1956. s. 21(1) and s. 49(b) s. 21(1) and s. 49(b)

6. [REDACTED] was born in 1958. s. 21(1) and s. 49(b) s. 21(1) and s. 49(b)

7. [REDACTED] was born in 1959. He died in 1960.

8. [REDACTED] was born in 1959. He and [REDACTED] were twins. s. 21(1) and s. 49(b) s. 21(1) and s. 49(b)

9. Miscarriage.

NAME: [REDACTED]

CB#: [REDACTED]

DATE: 1988 01 08

- 3 -

10. [REDACTED] born in 1961.

11. Stillbirth 1962.

Family Attitudes and Involvement:

[REDACTED] has had little contact with his family since his admission to H.R.C. [REDACTED] visited him once in 1977 and again in 1978. [REDACTED] visited [REDACTED] once in 1981.

[REDACTED] had visits with his family in October and December 1987. They indicated they would like to have further visits with [REDACTED] in the future. [REDACTED] was treated to a drink of pop during the October visit and his mother, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] each gave him a Christmas present in December.

[REDACTED] did not interact with the members of his family. They introduced themselves and shook hands with him at their first meeting in October. The fact that [REDACTED] does not talk seems to make the visits less satisfying than they might otherwise be. [REDACTED] was accompanied by a staff member during these visits in accordance with the caution on his file. [REDACTED] was well behaved and followed verbal directions well during these visits.

Mrs. [REDACTED] commented [REDACTED] would need to get to know them over time since his family members are strangers to him. [REDACTED] appears to be the one who organizes these visits with [REDACTED]. This is understandable since she provides the transportation.

On December 27, 1987 [REDACTED] was baptised in the H.R.C. chapel by Father Frank [REDACTED] from Guardian Angel Church. This was done at the request of his family. His mother said [REDACTED] was the only one of her children who had not been baptised in the Roman Catholic Church. He used to get upset when he was younger so his baptism was postponed and then did not take place until now.

Attitude re Community Placement:

We briefly discussed the Multi-Year Plan for deinstitutionalization and the eventual closure of H.R.C. The family members supported the idea that [REDACTED] move to the community. They are aware this could take some time to achieve.

Marie commented that she thought it would be nice if [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] could live in the same group home. They would have each other's company and it would make family visits easier.

Comments:

The two visits [REDACTED] has had with his family have gone well. [REDACTED] appears to be a responsible young woman. She picked up the various members of her family and had to see that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were returned to their group homes after the visit.

Since [REDACTED] was well behaved during the visits it was not possible to observe how they would manage if he became quite active.



NAME: [REDACTED]

CB#: [REDACTED]

DATE: 1988 01 08

- 4 -

Recommendations:

It is my opinion that the caution on file should be reviewed in regard to restrictions placed on [REDACTED] family re visits. It might be worthwhile to observe [REDACTED] when he is with his family to see if they can manage him satisfactorily if he does get quite active during a visit.

[REDACTED]

Social Worker

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]